



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/463,549	01/27/2000	DANIEL HENRY DENSHAM	GJE-35	6468
23557	7590	04/01/2005	EXAMINER	
SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION PO BOX 142950 GAINESVILLE, FL 32614-2950			SISSON, BRADLEY L	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1634		
DATE MAILED: 04/01/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/463,549	DENSHAM, DANIEL HENRY
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bradley L. Sisson	1634

All Participants:

Status of Application: 041

(1) Bradley L. Sisson. (3) _____.

(2) Doran R. Pace, Reg. No. 38,261. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 March 2005

Time: 1600

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3-17, 21, 30-34, 36, and 37

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreement was reached that claims 1, 3-17, 21, 30-34, 36, and 37 are currently pending in the instant application.

Mr. Sisson noted that box 4 on the Notice to Comply had been checked inadvertently and should be disregarded. Mr. Sisson added that at the time of preparing the Office action there was no record in PALM of the CRF having been received and that he was requesting an initial CRF. Mr. Sisson noted that since the mailing of the Office action he has since learned that the transmittal paper does reflect that a CRF had been filed, and that the Office is currently undertaking steps to locate it. Mr. Pace indicated that he will file a replacement copy of the CRF with his reply. Mr. Sisson indicated that he would notify Mr. Pace should the original disc be located and processed, thereby avoiding duplication of effort by applicant's representative.

Mr. Pace stated that he was not seeking a restart to the period of response, which began on 31 January 2005. .